

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,611	01/09/2002	Thomas E. Pank		2664
7:	590 09/05/2003			
William D. Ha			EXAMINER	
Potomac, MD			UPTON, CHR	ISTOPHER
		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	9
				(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicant(s)	
	Application No. 1 Application Group Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner) / 1724	1
Ollico	pars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
DATE of this communication appe	pars on the same in the part i	
_The MAILING DAM	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
iod for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE	T TO EAP IT ILL	
HORTENED STATUTORY THIS COMMUNICATION. THIS COMMUNICATION.	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply 50 cars will be considered timely.	
Inio Ooth	the tony minimum of thirty late of this communication.	
from the mailing date of this continuation that the mailing date of this continuation that the mailing date of t	default, expire SIX (6) MONTH to become ABANDONED (co default, expire SIX (6) MONTH to become ABANDONED (co default, expire SIX (6) MONTH to become ABANDONED (co default, expire SIX (6) MONTH to become ABANDONED (co default, expire SIX (6) MONTH to be default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	1
If the period for reply is specified above, seriod for reply will, If NO period for reply is specified above, seriod for reply will, If NO period for reply is specified above, seriod for reply will, If NO period for reply is specified above, seriod for reply will,	lys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (69) and this communication. default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent.	1
 Failure to reply within the Office later than unecessary Any reply received by the Office later than unecessary term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		
(GIII ca)		1
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	in as to the merits is closed in	
This action is FINAL .	e except for formal matters, prosession and the except for formal matters and the except for formal matter and the except for formal matters and the except for formal matter and the except for formal matters are an except for formal matters and the except for formal matters and the except for formal matters and the except for formal matters are an except for formal matters and the except for formal matters are an except for formal matters and the except for formal matters are an except for formal matters and the except for formal matt	
☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in all large states and all large states are selected in the application.	
accordance with the plants	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.
Disposition of Claims Claim(s) Claim(s) Since this application of the practice under Exparte data. Disposition of Claims Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
A Claim(s) 21 (4) 43, 45, 44-52	is/are objected to.	nn -
Of the above claim(s) Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) 21 4 2 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 2 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 5 4 5 5 6 5 6	is/are objected to restriction or election are subject to restriction or election or elect	יות
	104m	
□ Claim(s)	is \square approved \square disapproved.	
Application Papers Application Papers Application Papers	is approved disapproved.	
The proposed and	istate object.	
drawing(s) filed on		
The drawing(s) filed on	iner. • Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner. Examiner. oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No. Intirity documents have been received International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri ☐ this national stage application from: **Certified copies not received:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No. International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	on, PT
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri ☐ this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No. Interview Summary, PTO–413 Notice of Informal Patent Application	on, PT
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri ☐ this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No	on, PT
☐ The drawing(s) filed on. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri ☐ this national stage application from: **Certified copies not received:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). Ints have been received. Ints have been received in Application No	on, PT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 57-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification does not appear to describe an embodiment having a first filtering media having two sides, with a second filter medium on one side, a third filter medium on the other, four porous barriers covering the sides of the filter media,

and first and second drains receiving fluid from the second and third filter media via the third and fourth porous barriers.

3. Claims 57-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 57, lines 11-12 recite a filtering media covering the second face of the second barrier. This appears to be the same as the third filtering media, recited in lines 17-18.

- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the embodiment of claims 57-63 must be shown or the feature(s) cancelled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 5. Claims 39, 40, 43, 45, 46, 49, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lenhart Jr. et al.

Lenhart discloses a filter having two concentric layers of filter material separated by porous sidewalls and having a drain, as claimed.

6. Claims 48 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenhart in view of Knutson.

Claims 48 and 55 differ from Lenhart in recitation of a reservoir with an overflow outlet. While not shown in Lenhart, it is submitted that Lenhart discloses

the use of the filters in the system of Knutson (see column 5, lines 23-26), which discloses such structure, and therefore obviously would be used in such an arrangement.

7. Claims 40, 43, 45, 46, 48, 51, 52 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fleischmann.

Fleischmann discloses a filter having two concentric layers of filter material separated by porous sidewalls and having a drain, with a reservoir and an overflow, as claimed.

8. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenhart or Fleischmann.

Claim 50 differs from Lenhart and Fleischmann in recitation of the porous barriers being geotextile. It is submitted that the use of geotextile, a known material, would have been an obvious choice for the porous barriers of Lenhart or Fleischmann, due to the disclosures that a variety of materials may be used (see column 3, lines 43-44 of Fleischmann and column 6, lines 7-10 of Lenhart).

- 9. Claims 21, 23-31 and 33-36 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 41 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a filter having a plurality of cells, each having two layers of filter material separated by porous sidewalls and having a drain, where one cell completely surrounds another cell patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

11. Claims 44 and 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a filter having two concentric layers of filter material separated by porous sidewalls and having a drain, wherein the drain surrounds the filter layers patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

12. Claims 53, 54 and 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a filter having two concentric layers of filter material separated by porous sidewalls and having a drain, wherein the first filter media is two sided and has the second filtering media extending along both sides patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

13. Claims 57-63 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

The recitation of a filter having a first filtering media having two sides, with a second filter medium on one side, a third filter medium on the other, four porous barriers covering the sides of the filter media, and first and second drains receiving fluid from the second and third filter media via the third and fourth porous barriers patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

- 14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 40, 43, 45, 46, 48, 49-52 and 55 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Christopher Upton at telephone number (703) 308-3741.

CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER